

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/489,143	01/21/2000	William J. Baer	STL000020US1	5414	
23373 75	90 09/17/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			SMITH, F	SMITH, PETER J	
2100 PENNSY SUITE 800	LVANIA AVENUE, N.V	W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037		2176		
			DATE MAILED: 09/17/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	47				
	09/489	9,143	BAER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Exami	ner	Art Unit					
	Peter J	J Smith	2176					
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	nunication appears on	the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU  - Extensions of time may be available under the provis after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common of the period for reply specified above is less than thin of NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum find NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum find NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum find NO period for reply within the set or extended period for any reply received by the Office later than three monities are patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	JNICATION. ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ommunication. ty (30) days, a reply within the m statutory period will apply ar eply will, by statute, cause the ths after the mailing date of thi	o event, however, may statutory minimum of the nd will expire SIX (6) Mo application to become	a reply be timely filed  airty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this com  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.				
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 23 April 2004	4.						
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .								
•	, <del>_</del>							
Disposition of Claims								
4)  Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to 8)  Claim(s) are subject to res	s/are withdrawn from							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	are: a) <u>□</u> accepted or	r b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any o		•	` '					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) included the state of the st								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla a) All b) Some * c) None of 1. Certified copies of the prior 2. Certified copies of the prior 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	f: rity documents have b rity documents have b es of the priority docu ational Bureau (PCT F	peen received. peen received in uments have bee Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National S	tage				
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🗍 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1448 Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S Patent and Trademote Office.		Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	152)				

Art Unit: 2176

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This action is responsive to communications: amendment filed on 4/23//2004.
- 2. Claims 1-27 are pending in the case. Claims 1, 9, and 17 are independent claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 9-10, and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dedrick, US 5,768,521 patented 6/16/1998.

Regarding independent claims 1, 9, and 17, Dedrick discloses determining a content count for a content object and determining from the content object count a price for the content object in col. 1 line 62 – col. 2 line 22, and col. col. 4 line 26 – col. 5 line 25.

Regarding dependent claims 2, 10, and 18, Dedrick discloses determining a content count for each content entity, and summing the entity content counts to obtain a content count for the content object in col. 1 line 62 - col. 2 line 22, and col. col. 4 line 26 - col. 5 line 25.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 2176

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 3-6, 11-14, 19-22, and 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dedrick, US 5,768,521 patented 6/16/1998.

Regarding dependent claims 3, 11, and 19, Dedrick teaches determining a unit of information count for the content entity in col. 1 line 62 – col. 2 line 22, and col. col. 4 line 26 – col. 5 line 25. Dedrick teaches specific examples that the content count unit may be in bytes or words in col. 4 lines 63-64. Dedrick does not specifically teach that the unit of information is a character count. However, Dedrick's teaching of a byte unit count will correlate exactly in proportion to the size of the content entity just as a character count will correlate exactly in proportion to the size of the content entity. Each additional character contained in the content entity will increase the representative byte count by the same unit amount that a character count would increase. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Dedrick to have used a character for the content entity.

Regarding dependent claims 4, 12, and 20, Dedrick teaches determining a unit of information count for the content entity in col. 1 line 62 – col. 2 line 22, and col. col. 4 line 26 – col. 5 line 25. Dedrick teaches specific examples that the content count unit may be in bytes or words in col. 4 lines 63-64. Dedrick does not specifically teach that the unit of information is a character count. However, Dedrick's teaching of a byte unit count will correlate exactly in proportion to the size of the content entity just as a character count will correlate exactly in

Art Unit: 2176

entity will increase the representative byte count by the same unit amount that a character count would increase.

Determining a page count from the character count is merely changing the units of the count from characters to pages. Dedrick teaches a information unit count of bytes in col. 4 lines 63-64 and megabytes in col. 5 lines 21-23. The two example units of Dedrick are related exactly as the characters and pages of the claimed invention. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Dedrick to have converted characters into pages so that the cost computation could have been simplified.

Regarding dependent claims 5, 13, and 21, Dedrick teaches determining a unit of information count for the content entity in col. 1 line 62 – col. 2 line 22, and col. col. 4 line 26 – col. 5 line 25. Dedrick teaches specific examples that the content count unit may be in bytes or words in col. 4 lines 63-64. Dedrick does not specifically teach that the unit of information is a character count. However, Dedrick's teaching of a byte unit count will correlate exactly in proportion to the size of the content entity just as a character count will correlate exactly in proportion to the size of the content entity. Each additional character contained in the content entity will increase the representative byte count by the same unit amount that a character count would increase. Dedrick teaches counting the number of bytes in a content entity, determining the content entity type, and determining an average character count for content entities of that type in col. 1 line 62 – col. 2 line 22, and col. col. 4 line 26 – col. 5 line 25.

Regarding dependent claims 6, 14, and 22, Dedrick teaches determining a unit of information count for the content entity in col. 1 line 62 – col. 2 line 22, and col. col. 4 line 26 – col. 5 line 25. Dedrick teaches specific examples that the content count unit may be in bytes or

Art Unit: 2176

words in col. 4 lines 63-64. Dedrick does not specifically teach that the unit of information is a page count. However, Dedrick's teaching of a byte unit count will correlate exactly in proportion to the size of the content entity just as a page count will correlate exactly in proportion to the size of the content entity. Each additional page contained in the content entity will increase the representative byte count by the same unit amount that a page count would increase. Dedrick teaches multiplying the page count with a predetermined price per page in col. 1 line 62 – col. 2 line 22, and col. col. 4 line 26 – col. 5 line 25.

Regarding dependent claims 25, 26, and 27, Dedrick teaches wherein the content object comprises electronic text, audio, video, graphics, animation or other electronic information in col. 4 lines 26-51. An electronic book is a composition of electronic information as is described by Dedrick. Dedrick teaches wherein the electronic information content entity is interactively created by the end user in col. 4 lines 39-51. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Dedrick to have enabled an end user to have interactively created an electronic book by selecting from a plurality of electronic information content entities so that the user could have had a customized electronic book.

7. Claims 7-8, 15-16, and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dedrick, US 5,768,521 patented 6/16/1998 in view of Khan et al. (hereinafter "Khan"), US 6,199,054 B1 filed 3/5/1998.

Regarding dependent claims 7, 15, and 23, Dedrick teaches wherein a user may interactively select from a plurality of content entities to form a customized content object in col.

Art Unit: 2176

1 line 62 – col. 2 line 22, and col. col. 4 line 26 – col. 5 line 25. Dedrick teaches variable content entity pricing in col. 5 lines 23-25. Dedrick does not teach that at least one of the content entities comprises user provided content. Khan does teach wherein a user may selectively add a user-provided content entity subject to price metering in col. 3 lines 61-64. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the user-provided content teaching of Khan into Dedrick to have created the claimed invention. It would have been obvious and desirable to have allowed the user to have provided content to further customize the interactive selection of content entities composing the content object.

Regarding dependent claims 8, 16, and 24, Dedrick teaches wherein the price for user-provided material is determined in a first manner if the content count exceeds a predetermined content count maximum, and is determined in a second manner if the content count does not exceed the predefined maximum in col. 5 lines 23-25.

#### Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Dedrick discloses a metering mechanism which can meter the flow of electronic information to a user. The electronic information may be text, audio, video, graphics, or other digital information and may be provided to the user in portions if desired. The pricing of the content may be variable. Khan et al. discloses a system which monitors a digital payload provided by a user and levies a metered charge to the user for transmitting the user provided data. The Examiner believes a combination of these two

Art Unit: 2176

references by one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention renders the claimed invention obvious.

Page 7

#### Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Subler et al., US 5,646,992 patented 7/8/1997 discloses assembly, distribution, and use of digital information. Holmes et al., US 6,119,108 filed 10/1/1998 discloses a secure electronic publishing system. Dedrick, US 5,717,923 patented 2/10/1998 discloses dynamically customizing electronic information to individual end users. Hartrick et al., US 5,532,920 patented 7/2/1996 discloses enforcing payment of royalties when copying softcopy books.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter J Smith whose telephone number is 703-305-5931 (571-272-4101 after 10/20/2004). The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Fridays 7:00am-3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph H Feild can be reached on 703-305-9792 (571-272-4090 after 10/20/2004). The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2176

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PJS September 15, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER